## MEMORANDUM

Date: $\quad$ August 2, 2018
To: Council
From: Kiley Dancy, Staff
Subject: Summer Flounder Specifications for 2019

The Council and Board will consider 2019 specifications for summer flounder on Wednesday, August 15. Materials listed below are provided for the Council and Board's consideration of this agenda item.

Please note that some materials are behind other tabs.

1) Monitoring Committee recommendation summary (behind Tab 7)
2) July 2017 Scientific and Statistical Committee meeting report (behind Tab 16)
3) Staff memo on 2019 summer flounder specifications dated June 29, 2018
4) Summer Flounder Data Update for 2018
5) June 2018 Advisory Panel Fishery Performance Report (behind Tab 7)
6) Additional written comments from advisors received through August 1, 2018 on summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass (behind Tab 7)
7) Additional public comments received on summer flounder as of August 1, 2018
8) 2018 Summer Flounder Fishery Information Document

# MEMORANDUM 

DATE: June 29, 2018
TO: Chris Moore, Executive Director
FROM: Kiley Dancy, Staff
SUBJECT: Summer Flounder Specifications for 2019

## Executive Summary

In 2016, two-year specifications were implemented for summer flounder for 2017-2018. No specifications have yet been recommended or implemented for the 2019 fishing year. A benchmark stock assessment for summer flounder is scheduled to undergo peer review in November 2018, with results expected to be available in early 2019. Interim 2019 specifications are needed for implementation by January 1, 2019. Revisions based on the new stock assessment would likely be implemented in spring 2019.

The most recent stock assessment update was completed in July 2016. This update indicated that the summer flounder stock was not overfished, but overfishing was occurring in 2015. Spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated to be 79.90 million $\mathrm{lb}(36,240 \mathrm{mt})$ in $2015,58 \%$ of SSB at maximum sustainable yield, $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=137.56$ million $\mathrm{lb}(62,394 \mathrm{mt})$. The fishing mortality rate $(\mathrm{F})$ in 2015 was $0.390,26 \%$ above the fishing mortality threshold reference point $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSYPROXY }}=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$.

The Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) provided a data update for 2018, with catch, landings, and fishery independent survey indices through 2017. In addition, this data update provides projections of stock biomass for 2019.The projections use the 2016 stock assessment update model run, updated to reflect realized catch from 2016 and 2017 and the assumption that the 2018 Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) will be caught. Staff recommend using these projections to set a preliminary 2019 ABC for summer flounder, for revision later in 2019 based on the forthcoming benchmark assessment.

The Monitoring Committee will review recent fishery performance and recommend to the Council and Board commercial and recreational Annual Catch Limits (ACLs) and Annual Catch Targets (ACTs) for 2019 as well as any modifications to the commercial management measures for 2019.

The currently implemented 2018 catch and landings limits, and the staff recommendation for 2019 limits, are shown in Table 1. The methods used to derive these measures are described in more detail later in this memo.

Table 1: Currently implemented catch and landings limits for summer flounder for 2018, and staff recommended measures for 2019.

| Management Measure | 2018 |  | Basis | 2019 (Staff Rec.) |  | Basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mil lb. | mt |  | mil lb. | mt |  |
| OFL | 18.69 | 8,476 | 2016 stock assessment update projections | 20.60 | 9,343 | Updated stock projections for 2019 based on the 2016 assessment update |
| ABC | 13.23 | 5,999 | Stock assessment projections/SSC recommendation | 15.41 | 6,988 | Revised 2019 projections/Council Risk Policy application |
| ABC Landings Portion | 11.05 | 5,010 | Stock assessment projections | 12.86 | 5,834 | Stock assessment projections |
| ABC Discards Portion | 2.18 | 989 | Stock assessment projections | 2.54 | 1,154 | Stock assessment projections |
| Projected Commercial Discards | 1.07 | 485 | $49 \%$ of ABC discards portion, based on 2013-2015 average \% discards by sector | 1.47 | 666 | $58 \%$ of ABC discards portion, based on 2015-2017 average $\%$ discards by sector |
| Projected Recreational Discards | 1.11 | 504 | $51 \%$ of ABC discards portion, based on 2013-2015 average \% discards by sector | 1.08 | 488 | $42 \%$ of ABC discards portion, based on 2015-2017 average \% discards by sector |
| Commercial ACL | 7.70 | 3,491 | $60 \%$ of ABC landings portion (per FMP allocation) + projected commercial discards | 9.18 | 4,166 | $60 \%$ of ABC landings portion (FMP allocation) + projected commercial discards |
| Commercial ACT | 7.70 | 3,491 | Monitoring Committee recommendation: no deduction from ACL for management uncertainty | 9.18 | 4,166 | Staff recommendation: no deduction from ACL for management uncertainty |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commercial } \\ & \text { Quota } \end{aligned}$ | 6.63 | 3,006 | Commercial ACT, less projected commercial discards | 7.72 | 3,500 | Commercial ACT, less projected commercial discards |
| Recreational ACL | 5.53 | 2,508 | $40 \%$ of ABC landings portion (per FMP allocation) + projected recreational discards | 6.22 | 2,822 | $40 \%$ of ABC landings portion (FMP <br> allocation) + projected recreational discards |
| Recreational ACT | 5.53 | 2,508 | Monitoring Committee recommendation; no deduction from ACL for management uncertainty | 6.22 | 2,822 | Staff recommendation: no deduction from ACL for management uncertainty |
| Recreational Harvest Limit | 4.42 | 2,004 | Recreational ACT, less projected recreational discards | 5.15 | 2,334 | Recreational ACT, less projected recreational discards |

As described below, staff recommend that ACTs for the commercial and recreational fisheries be set equal to their respective ACL. Staff also recommend no changes to the commercial minimum size, mesh requirements, or exemption programs for summer flounder in 2019.

## Introduction

The Magnuson-Stevens Act (MSA) requires each Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) to provide ongoing scientific advice for fishery management decisions, including recommendations for ABC , preventing overfishing, and achieving maximum sustainable yield. The Council's catch limit recommendations for the upcoming fishing year(s) cannot exceed the ABC recommendation of the SSC. In addition, the Monitoring Committee established by the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) is responsible for developing recommendations for management measures designed to achieve the recommended catch limits. The SSC is responsible for recommending ABCs that address scientific uncertainty, while the Monitoring Committee recommends ACTs that address management uncertainty and management measures to constrain landings to the ACTs.

In 2015, the SSC recommended 2016-2018 specifications based on a phased-in reduction approach taken at the request of the Council. In 2016, the SSC revised their previously recommended 2017-2018 ABCs after reviewing the results of the 2016 stock assessment update (see "Review of Prior SSC Recommendations" below). The revised 2017-2018 measures were implemented by NMFS in December 2016. In 2017, the SSC reviewed and maintained their previous recommendations for the 2018 fishing year $(\mathrm{ABC}=13.24$ million lb or $5,999 \mathrm{mt})$

No specifications are currently in place for the 2019 fishing year. A benchmark stock assessment is currently in development and is scheduled for peer review at the $66^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC 66) in November 2018. ${ }^{1}$ Because specifications for summer flounder must be implemented by January 1, 2019, the assessment results will not be available with enough time to incorporate into the initial 2019 ABC recommendations. Thus, interim specifications are required for the first part of 2019, which will then be revised after the final benchmark stock assessment results are available for review.

The SSC is asked to develop 2019 ABC recommendations, and the Monitoring Committee will need to develop 2019 ACL and ACT recommendations. Based on these recommendations, the Council will make a recommendation to the NMFS Greater Atlantic Regional Administrator. Because the FMP is cooperatively managed with the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, the Commission's Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Board will meet jointly with the Council in August 2018 to recommend summer flounder management measures. In this memorandum, information is presented to assist the SSC and Monitoring Committee in developing recommendations for the Council and Board to consider for the 2019 fishing year for summer flounder.

Additional relevant information about the fishery and past management measures is presented in the Fishery Performance Report for summer flounder developed by the Council and Commission Advisory Panels, as well as in the corresponding Summer Flounder Fishery Information Document prepared by Council staff. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]
## Recent Catch and Landings

Reported 2017 landings in the commercial fishery were approximately 5.83 million $\mathrm{lb}(2,644 \mathrm{mt})$, about $3 \%$ over the commercial quota of 5.66 million $\mathrm{lb}(2,567 \mathrm{mt})$. The 2017 commercial ACL ( 6.57 million pounds or $2,982 \mathrm{mt}$ ) was exceeded by $17 \%$, with 2017 commercial catch estimated at 7.71 million pounds ( $3,498 \mathrm{mt}$ ) according to the 2018 data update.

Recreational harvest in 2017 was 3.19 million ( $1,447 \mathrm{mt}$ ), about $85 \%$ of the recreational harvest limit ( 3.77 million lb or $1,711 \mathrm{mt}$ ). Recreational catch (harvest plus dead discards) in 2017 was estimated at 4.13 million pounds ( $1,873 \mathrm{mt}$ ), about $87 \%$ of the recreational ACL ( 4.72 million pounds $=2,143 \mathrm{mt}$ ).

Total fishery dead catch in 2017 was estimated at 11.84 million pounds ( $5,371 \mathrm{mt}$ ) according to the 2018 data update, about $5 \%$ above the 2017 ABC of 11.30 million pounds ( $5,125 \mathrm{mt}$ ).

The 2018 commercial landings as of the week ending June 23, 2018, indicate that $58 \%$ of the 2018 coastwide commercial quota has been landed (Table 2). Last year, $62 \%$ of the 2017 commercial quota had been landed as of June 24. No recreational data are available yet for summer flounder for 2018.

Table 2: The 2018 state-by-state commercial quotas and the amount of summer flounder landed by commercial fishermen, in each state as of week ending June 23, 2018.

| State | Cumulative Landings <br> (lb) | Quota (lb) $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Percent of Quota <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ME | 0 | 3,061 | 0 |
| NH | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| MA | 123,616 | 410,192 | 30 |
| RI | 623,925 | $1,001,381$ | 62 |
| CT | 72,154 | 145,268 | 50 |
| NY | 246,436 | 492,169 | 50 |
| NJ | 529,906 | $1,076,440$ | 49 |
| DE | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MD | 20,168 | 131,239 | 15 |
| VA | 783,257 | $1,371,972$ | 57 |
| NC | $1,310,224$ | $1,755,989$ | 75 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 , 7 0 9 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 8 7 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |

auotas adjusted for overages. Source: NMFS Weekly Quota Report for week ending June 23, 2018.

## Stock Status and Biological Reference Points

The last peer-reviewed benchmark stock assessment was conducted in the summer of 2013 at the $57^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Workshop/Stock Assessment Review Committee (SAW/SARC 57). ${ }^{3}$ The SAW/SARC 57 biological reference points include a fishing mortality threshold of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}$ (as the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $)=0.309$, and a biomass reference point of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}=\mathrm{SSB}_{35 \%}$ (as the $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy) $=$ 137.56 million $\mathrm{lb}=62,394 \mathrm{mt}$. The minimum stock size threshold ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ ), is 68.78 million lb $(31,197 \mathrm{mt})$.

[^1]The most recent stock assessment update was completed in July 2016, using data through $2015 .^{4}$ This assessment update uses the model from the 2013 benchmark stock assessment, which is a combined-sex age-structured ASAP assessment model.

Results from the 2016 assessment update indicate that the summer flounder stock was not overfished, but overfishing was occurring in 2015 relative to the biological reference points from the 2013 benchmark assessment. Fishing mortality on the fully selected age 4 fish ranged between 0.799 and 1.775 during 1982-1996 and then decreased from 0.871 in 1997 to 0.288 in 2007. Since 2007 the fishing mortality rate has increased and was 0.390 in $2015,26 \%$ above the fishing mortality reference point ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ ). The $90 \%$ confidence interval for F in 2015 was 0.292 to 0.490 .

SSB was estimated to be 79.90 million lb $(36,240 \mathrm{mt})$ in 2015 , about $58 \%$ of the biomass target $\left(\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=137.6\right.$ million $\left.\mathrm{lb}, 62,394 \mathrm{mt}\right)$, and $16 \%$ above the biomass threshold ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $=1 / 2$ $\mathrm{SSB}_{35 \%}=68.78$ million $\mathrm{lb}, 31,197 \mathrm{mt}$ ). A rebuilding plan will be triggered if estimated biomass falls below the minimum biomass threshold. Figures showing the trends in F and SSB over time are provided in the 2017 Summer Flounder Fishery Information Document.

The 2016 assessment update indicated that while catches in recent years have not been substantially over the ABCs , the projected fishing mortality rates have been exceeded and projected SSB has not been achieved. This update showed a moderate internal model retrospective pattern with continued recent underestimation of F and overestimation of SSB. A historical retrospective analysis, comparing model estimates from the 1990-2015 assessments, likewise indicates the same trend since the 2011 assessment update. These results appear to be largely driven by below average recruitment from 2010-2015. The assessment continues to show a consistent recent retrospective pattern in recruitment averaging $+22 \%$. The update shows that recruitment of age 0 fish was below the time series average ( 41 million fish at age 0; 1982-2015) each year from 2010 through 2015. Recruitment of age 0 fish in 2015 was estimated at 23 million fish.

In June 2018, the Council received a data update for summer flounder ${ }^{5}$, including updated catch and landings information as well as survey indices through 2017 (through spring 2018 for NESFC indices). The data update indicates that most state and federal survey indices of abundance, with the exception of Massachusetts and Delaware, have seen declines from their most recent peaks (generally during 20092012) through 2017, although most indices are variable in recent years, and some have shown signs of slight to moderate rebounding. The NEFSC fall survey was unable to sample the summer flounder strata in fall 2017, however the NEFSC spring survey biomass index increased between 2017 and 2018. The Delaware index peaked in 2017. Indices of recruitment (age 0 fish) have generally been below average over the last 6-7 years. Recruitment indices in 2017 were highly variable.

[^2]
## Review of Prior SSC Recommendations

In July 2016, the SSC recommended, and the Council and Board adopted, revised two-year ABCs for summer flounder for 2017-2018, based on new stock status information and projections from the 2016 assessment update. ${ }^{6}$ In July 2017, the SSC reviewed the 2018 recommendation and recommended no changes.

The SSC classified the current assessment as an assessment requiring an "SSC-modified OFL (overfishing limit) probability distribution." In this type of assessment, the SSC provides its own estimate of uncertainty in the distribution of the OFL. In 2016, the SSC concluded that no new information was presented that would cause the SSC to deviate from using the previously applied OFL CV of $60 \%$.

Assuming an OFL with a lognormal distribution and a $60 \% \mathrm{CV}$, and a stock status lower than $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, the 2018 OFL was determined to be 18.69 million $\mathrm{lb}(8,476 \mathrm{mt})$, based on an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy of $\mathrm{F}=0.309$ $\left(\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}\right)$ and 2017 projected SSB. For 2018, this procedure resulted in a $\mathrm{P}^{*}=0.267$ and an ABC of 13.23 million lb ( $5,999 \mathrm{mt}$ ).

At their July 2016 meeting, the SSC considered the following to be the most significant sources of uncertainty associated with the determination of the OFL and/or ABC:

- Retrospective patterns were evident in the assessment update that have substantial implications for the reliability of model projections and inferences regarding the status of the stock. The causes of the retrospective pattern are unknown, but might include changes in the following:

1) Sources of mortality that are not fully accounted in the assessment. These could include:

- Under-estimation of discards in both the commercial and recreational fisheries and lower estimates of mortality rates applied to the discards than are actually occurring; and
- Under-reported landings.

2) Natural mortality, which may be underestimated - but the presence of older male flounder in the population suggest this is unlikely.
3) Availability or catchability of fish due to changes in stock distribution.

- Changes in life history are apparent in the population.
- Potential changes in availability of fish to some surveys and to the fishery as a result of changes in the distribution of the population.


## Staff Recommendation for 2019 ABC

As described in the 2018 data update, projections using the existing 2016 updated assessment model were made to estimate the 2019 OFL and ABC. The projections use the realized catches for 2016 and 2017 and assume that $100 \%$ of the $2018 \mathrm{ABC}(13.23$ million $\mathrm{lb}=5,999 \mathrm{mt})$ will be caught. The OFL

[^3]projection uses $\mathrm{F}_{2019}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=0.309$. The total catch associated with the projected 2019 OFL is 20.60 million $\mathrm{lb}=9,343 \mathrm{mt}$.

Based on these updated 2019 OFL projections, staff recommend a 2019 ABC of 15.41 million lb ( 6,988 $\underline{\mathrm{mt}}$ ). This is derived by applying the same application of the Council's risk policy that the SSC has used in recent years, including assuming an OFL with a lognormal distribution and a $60 \% \mathrm{CV}$, projected 2018 SSB at $75.6 \%$ of SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$, and a typical life history. This results in a 2019 ABC of 15.41 million pounds $=6,988 \mathrm{mt}$ and a $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ of 0.300 (Table 3).

Table 3: Staff recommendations based on 2019 stock biomass projections and application of the Council's risk policy.

| Year | OFL | ABC Total <br> Catch | ABC \% <br> of OFL | Landings <br> portion of <br> ABC | Discards <br> portion of <br> ABC | F | P* <br> Value | Projected <br> SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 20.60 mil lb <br> $(9,343 \mathrm{mt})$ | 15.41 mil lb <br> $(6,988 \mathrm{mt})$ | $75 \%$ | 12.86 mil lb <br> $(5,834 \mathrm{mt})$ | 2.54 mil lb <br> $(1,154 \mathrm{mt})$ | 0.225 | 0.300 | 117.28 mil lb <br> $(53,198 \mathrm{mt})$ |

As discussed above, these specifications are intended to serve as initial 2019 specifications until they can be revised based on the results of the 2018 benchmark assessment scheduled for peer review in November 2018.

## Sector-Specific Catch and Landings Limits

## Recreational and Commercial Annual Catch Limits

The summer flounder ABC includes both landings and discards, and is equal to the sum of the commercial and recreational ACLs for summer flounder (Figure 1). Based on the allocation percentages in the FMP, $60 \%$ of the landings are allocated to the commercial fishery, and $40 \%$ to the recreational fishery. Discards are apportioned based on the discards contribution from each fishing sector using a 3year moving average percentage. When 2017-2018 specifications were revised in 2016, the most recent three-year period was 2013-2015, during which $51 \%$ of dead discards were attributable to the recreational fishery, and $49 \%$ to the commercial fishery, on average (Table 1). According to the 2018 data update, the proportion of discards attributable to the commercial fishery increased in 2017, with approximately $58 \%$ of discards originating from the commercial fishery and $42 \%$ from the recreational fishery between 2015-2017. This is accounted for in the staff recommendation for 2019 ACLs and ACTs.


Figure 1: Flowchart for summer flounder catch and landings limits. Note: the research set-aside program was suspended in 2014.

## Annual Catch Targets

The Summer Flounder Monitoring Committee is responsible for recommending ACTs, which are intended to account for management uncertainty. The Monitoring Committee should consider all relevant sources of management uncertainty in the summer flounder fishery and provide the technical basis, including any formulaic control rules, for any reduction in catch when recommending an ACT.

Management uncertainty is comprised of two parts: uncertainty in the ability of managers to control catch and uncertainty in quantifying the true catch (i.e., estimation errors). Management uncertainty can occur because of a lack of sufficient information about the catch (e.g., due to late reporting, underreporting, and/or misreporting of landings or bycatch) or because of a lack of management precision (i.e., the ability to constrain catch to desired levels).

Recreational harvest fluctuated widely in relation to the recreational harvest limits (RHLs) for the past five years. Over the past five years (2013-2017), harvest varied substantially (Table 4), even with constant recreational measures between 2014-2016. This illustrates the substantial uncertainty around predicting recreational harvest, which results in occasionally large RHL underages and overages. Given recent substantial underages, staff believe a reduction in the recreational ACL to an ACT is not necessarily the appropriate management response. Instead, the Monitoring Committee should continue ongoing work to incorporate estimates of uncertainty in the recreational data and more fully consider various factors that may influence recreational catch and harvest. For example, the impacts of management changes on recreational discards and the impacts of year class size and trends in biomass projections should be more thoroughly considered with the goal of better predicting impacts of management measure changes. The Council and Board are currently considering both short-term and long-term modifications to the recreational management system to address some of these uncertainties in recreational management, and achieve a balance of flexibility and stability in the recreational measures. For example, the Council funded a proposal to evaluate moving to an F-based management system for the recreational summer flounder fishery. This type of management would fundamentally alter the approach to recreational management.

Recreational dead discards as a percentage of total catch has been stable in recent years, averaging $8 \%$ of total catch from 2013-2017. As a percentage of recreational catch, recreational dead discards have averaged $24 \%$ over the same time period.

Commercial landings have generally been very near the commercial quotas for the last five years (20132017). Although the commercial quota overages were higher than average in 2013 and 2014, landings have been closer to the commercial quota for the past two years (Table 4). The NMFS Regional Administrator has in-season closure authority for the commercial summer flounder fishery, and commercial quota monitoring systems in place are typically effective in allowing timely reactions to landings levels that approach quotas.

Commercial discards as a percentage of the total catch increased in 2017 relative to recent years. Typically, commercial discards have comprised $10 \%$ of the total catch on average since 1989, and in 2017 were $16 \%$ of the total catch. Commercial discards were $24 \%$ of commercial catch in 2017, above the prior 10-year average of $17 \%$. According to observer data, the increase in discards in 2017 appears to be largely driven by low quotas in 2017 and resulting closures (Table 5). The top reasons shown below account for about $90 \%$ of observed trawl discards over this period.

Because increases in commercial discards resulted in the commercial ACL being exceeded in 2017, trends in commercial discards should continue to be monitored closely for potential future incorporation into ACT recommendations. However, given the forthcoming benchmark stock assessment that is expected to revise 2019 catch limits, as well as the forthcoming revised time series of recreational catch that will change the understanding of discards by sector, staff recommend that no changes to the ACTs be made until this new information becomes available. Thus, for preliminary 2019 specifications, staff recommend that the commercial and recreational ACTs be set equal to their respective sector ACLs. This should be re-evaluated when revised recreational time series are released, as well as when new stock assessment catch time series are available.

Table 4: Summer flounder commercial and recreational fishery performance relative to quotas and harvest limits, 2013-2017.

| Year | Commercial <br> Landings <br> $\left(\right.$ mil lb) $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Commercial <br> Quota <br> $(\mathbf{m i l ~ l b})$ | Percent <br> Overage(+)/ <br> Underage(-) | Recreational <br> Landings <br> $\left(\mathbf{m i l ~ l b ) ~}^{\mathbf{b}}\right.$ | Recreational <br> Harvest Limit <br> $($ mil lb) | Percent <br> Overage(+)/ <br> Underage(-) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 12.49 | 11.44 | $+9 \%$ | 7.36 | 7.63 | $-4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 11.07 | 10.51 | $+5 \%$ | 7.39 | 7.01 | $+5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 10.68 | 11.07 | $-4 \%$ | 4.72 | 7.38 | $-36 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 7.81 | 8.12 | $-4 \%$ | 6.18 | 5.42 | $+14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 5.83 | 5.66 | $+3 \%$ | 3.19 | 3.77 | $-15 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 - y r ~ A v g . ~}$ | - | - | $+2 \%$ | - | - | $-7 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: NMFS dealer data, as of May 2018.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Source: NMFS MRIP database as of April 23, 2018. Recreational landings from Maine through North Carolina.

Table 5: Top reasons recorded for discarding summer flounder on observed trawl trips, 2013-2017.

| Recorded Discard Reason | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Avg |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulations Prohibit Retention, <br> Too Small | $6.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Regulations Prohibit Retention, <br> Quota Filled | $2.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Regulations Prohibit Any <br> Retention | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Regulations Prohibit Retention, <br> No Quota in Area | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Retaining Only Certain Size <br> Better Price Trip Quota in Effect | $0.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

## Commercial Quotas and Recreational Harvest Limits

Projected discards are removed from the sector-specific ACTs to derive landings limits, which include annual commercial quotas and RHLs (Table 1). The sum of the commercial quota and RHL is equivalent to the total allowable landings in a given year. The commercial quota is divided amongst the states based on the allocation percentages in the FMP, shown in Table 6. Revisions to the commercial allocations are currently being considered through the Council and Commission's Summer Flounder Commercial Issues Amendment. ${ }^{7}$ Any revisions to these allocations would not be implemented until January 1, 2020 at the earliest.

[^4]Table 6: The summer flounder quota allocations for the commercial fisheries in each state.

| State | Allocation (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M E}$ | 0.04756 |
| $\mathbf{N H}$ | 0.00046 |
| MA | 6.82046 |
| RI | 15.68298 |
| $\mathbf{C T}$ | 2.25708 |
| $\mathbf{N Y}$ | 7.64699 |
| $\mathbf{N J}$ | 16.72499 |
| DE | 0.01779 |
| MD | 2.03910 |
| VA | 21.31676 |
| NC | 27.44584 |
| Total | 100 |

Specific management measures that will be used to achieve the RHL for the recreational fishery in 2019 will not be determined until later in 2018. Typically, the Council and Board review data through Wave 4 (July-August) in the current year to set specifications in the upcoming year. The Monitoring Committee meets in November to review these data and make recommendations regarding any necessary changes in the recreational management measures (i.e., bag limit, minimum size, and season). Given that MRIP time series revisions are expected in July 2018, and that the benchmark assessment is expected to revise recreational catch and landings limits in mid-2019, the Monitoring Committee and Council/Board will need to consider how the timing of 2019 recreational measures development may need to be modified to accommodate this new information.

## Commercial Management Measures

## Commercial Gear Regulations and Minimum Fish Size

Management measures in the commercial fishery other than quotas (i.e., minimum fish size, gear requirements, etc.) have remained generally constant since 1999.

The current commercial minimum fish size is 14 inches total length (TL). The 14 -inch minimum size was implemented in 1997 and represented an increase from the previous minimum size of 13 inches TL.

Current trawl gear regulations require a 5.5 -inch diamond or 6.0 -inch square minimum mesh in the entire net for vessels possessing more than the threshold amount of summer flounder, i.e., 200 lb in the winter (November 1-April 30) and 100 lb in the summer (May 1-October 31). The minimum fish size and mesh requirements may be changed through specifications based on the recommendations of the Monitoring Committee. The 5.5 inch diamond or 6.0 inch square minimum mesh size requirements were first implemented in 1993 under Amendment 2 to the FMP, but at the time applied only to the net's codend. Under Amendment 10 to the FMP, effective in 1998, the minimum mesh requirements were modified to apply throughout the whole net.

Summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass are all currently managed with different minimum mesh sizes (i.e. $5.5 "$ diamond or 6 " square for summer flounder, 5 " diamond for scup, and 4.5 " diamond for
black sea bass). A study by Hasbrouck et al. (2018) ${ }^{8}$ confirmed that the current minimum mesh sizes for all three species are effective at releasing most fish smaller than the commercial minimum sizes (i.e., $14 "$ total length for summer flounder, $9 "$ total length for scup, and $11 "$ total length for black sea bass). One goal of the Hasbrouck et al. study was to evaluate the potential for a common mesh size across all three species. The study was not able to identify a mesh size for all three species that would be effective at minimizing discards under the current minimum fish size limits. However, the authors concluded that a common mesh size of 4.5 " or 5 " diamond for scup and black sea bass would be effective at releasing undersized fish.

Council staff recommend no changes to the minimum mesh sizes for 2019. The Monitoring Committee will review the results of Hasbrouck et al. (2018) during their July 2018 meeting. If the Council wishes to consider modifications to the minimum mesh sizes, the objectives should be clarified. Possible objectives could include establishing a common minimum mesh size, minimizing discards, and/or maintaining or increasing catches of legal-sized fish; however, some of these objectives may be at odds with each other. Input from the commercial fishing industry should be sought before any minimum mesh size changes are considered. As the Monitoring Committee has noted in the past, changes to these requirements can create an economic burden for fishermen if they necessitate purchase of new nets.

Staff recommend no changes to the current 14-inch minimum fish size, gear requirements, or seasonal thresholds for 2019.

## Minimum Mesh Size Exemption Programs

## Small Mesh Exemption Area

Vessels landing more than 200 lb of summer flounder, east of longitude $72^{\circ} 30.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, from November 1 through April 30, and using mesh smaller than 5.5 -inch diamond or 6.0 -inch square are required to obtain a small mesh exemption program (SMEP) permit from NMFS. The exemption is designed to allow vessels to retain a bycatch of summer flounder while operating in other small-mesh fisheries.

The FMP requires that observer data be reviewed annually to determine whether vessels fishing seaward of the SMEP line with smaller than the required minimum mesh size and landing more than 200 lb of summer flounder are discarding more than $10 \%$ (by weight) of their summer flounder catch per trip. Typically, staff evaluate the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) data for the period from November 1 in the previous year to April 30 in the current year. However, when this analysis is conducted in early July, complete observer data is not yet available through the end of April in the current year. As such, a year-long lag in the analysis is used.

Staff evaluated NEFOP data for November 1, 2016 through April 30, 2017. These data indicate that a total of 555 trips with at least one tow were observed east of $72^{\circ} 30.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ and 376 of these trips used small mesh (Table 7). Of those 376 trips, 150 trips reported landing more than 200 lb of summer flounder. Of those 150 trips, 36 trips discarded more than $10 \%$ of their summer flounder catch. The percentage of trips that met all these criteria relative to the total number of observed trips east of $72^{\circ}$ $30.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ is $6.5 \%$ ( $36 / 555$ trips). The prior year percentage of trips that met the criteria, also shown in Table 7, was about $4.6 \%$. This percentage has seen small increases over the last several years, and the Monitoring Committee should continue to closely monitor the use of this exemption program. If the rate

[^5]of trips meeting these criteria continues to increase, the Monitoring Committee should consider modifications to this program.

For an unrelated action in 2017, GARFO staff compiled the number of vessels issued a letter of authorization (LOA) for the small mesh exemption program in recent years, shown in Table 8, indicating that an average of 64 summer flounder permit holders have requested this LOA from 2013 through 2017.

Based on the information described above, staff recommend no change in the SMEP program, however, the rates of summer flounder discarding should continue to be closely tracked by the Monitoring Committee.

Table 7: Numbers of trips that meet specific criteria based on observed trips from November 1, 2015 to April 30, 2016, and November 1, 2016 to April 30, 2017.

| Criteria | Nov. 1, 2015 - <br> April 30, 2016 | Nov. 1, 2016 - <br> April 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | Observed trips with at least one catch record east of <br> $72^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ W Longitude | 391 | 555 |
| B | That met the criteria in row A and used small mesh at <br> some point during their trip | 252 | 376 |
| C | That met the criteria in rows A-B and landed more than <br> 200 pounds summer flounder on whole trip | 92 | 150 |
| D | That met the criteria in rows A-C and discarded >10\% <br> of summer flounder catch east of 72 $2^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ W Longitude | 18 | 36 |
|  | $\%$ of observed trips with catch east of 72 30' W <br> Longitude that also used small mesh, landed >200 <br> pounds of summer flounder, and discarded >10\% of <br> summer flounder catch (row D/row A) | $4.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| F | Total summer flounder discards (pounds) from trips <br> meeting criteria in A-D | 16,470 | 14,640 |
| G | Total summer flounder landings (pounds) from trips <br> meeting criteria in A-D | 23,295 | 25,472 |
| H | Total catch (pounds) from trips meeting criteria in A-D | 39,765 | 40,113 |

Table 8: Number of vessels issued the small mesh LOA from fishing year 2013-2017.

| Year | Vessels Enrolled |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 71 |
| 2014 | 55 |
| 2015 | 65 |
| 2016 | 61 |
| 2017 | 69 |

## Flynet Exemption Program

Vessels fishing with a two-seam otter trawl flynet are also exempt from the minimum mesh size requirements. Exempt flynets have large mesh in the wings that measure 8 to 64 inches, the belly of the net has 35 or more meshes that are at least 8 inches, and the mesh decreases in size throughout the body of the net to 2 inches or smaller. Only North Carolina has a flynet fishery at present. The supplemental memo from T.D. VanMiddlesworth dated June 22, 2018 (see Attachment) indicates that no summer flounder were landed in the North Carolina flynet fishery in 2015, 2016, or 2017. In 2015, as part of the review of commercial measures, the Monitoring and Technical Committees reviewed information indicating that summer flounder landings in this fishery have generally declined since 2007, and have been under $2,000 \mathrm{lb}$ since 2010 . Based on this information, staff recommend no change to this exemption program. Staff also note that scup and black sea bass were landed in the North Carolina flynet fishery in 2017, and the Monitoring Committee should consider whether similar exemptions should be explored for these species.
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## Memorandum

To: Kiley Dancy, MAFMC
From: Todd Daniel VanMiddlesworth, NCDMF
Date: June 22, 2018
Subject: $\quad$ Species composition and landings from the 2017 North Carolina fly net fishery
The 2017 North Carolina fly net species composition and landings in pounds are provided in Table 1. Individual landings listed as "other species" are not reported because the data are confidential and cannot be distributed to sources outside the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (North Carolina General Statute 113-170.3 (c)). Confidential data can only be released in a summarized format that does not allow the user to track landings or purchases to an individual. Summer flounder were not landed in the 2015, 2016 or 2017 fly net fishery. Note that fly net landings for most species were lower in 2017 than in 2016. Additionally, total fly net landings in 2017 were lower than those in 2016 which may be the result of reduced fishing effort on targeted fish species and increased shoaling at Oregon Inlet resulting in limited access of fly net boats to North Carolina ports.

Table 1. Species composition and landings for 2017 North Carolina fly net fishery. Species with confidential landings are listed under "Other Species".

| Species | $\underline{\text { Weight (lb) }}$ | $\underline{\text { Percent }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic croaker | 51,740 | 39.46 |
| black sea bass | 23,582 | 17.99 |
| scup | 18,859 | 14.38 |
| other species* | 36,923 | 28.16 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

*Those species with confidential landings included bluefish, butterfish, cobia, cutlassfish (ribbonfish), hakes (ling), Atlantic menhaden bait (lbs), monkfish (whole), sea mullet (kingfish), spot, squid, loligo squid (lbs), starbutter (harvestfish) and trout (gray trout).

# Summer flounder Data and Projection Update for 2018 

National Marine Fisheries Service<br>Northeast Fisheries Science Center 166 Water St.<br>Woods Hole, MA 02543

Reported 2017 landings in the commercial fishery were $2,644 \mathrm{mt}=5.829$ million lbs, about $103 \%$ of the commercial quota ( $2,567 \mathrm{mt}=5.659$ million lbs). Estimated 2017 landings in the recreational fishery were 1,447 $\mathrm{mt}=3.190$ million lbs , about $85 \%$ of the recreational harvest limit ( $1,711 \mathrm{mt}=3.772$ million lbs ). Total commercial and recreational landings in 2017 were $4,091 \mathrm{mt}=9.019$ million lbs and total commercial and recreational discards were $1,280 \mathrm{mt}=2.822$ million lbs , for a total catch in $2017 \mathrm{of} 5,371 \mathrm{mt}=11.841 \mathrm{million} \mathrm{lbs}$ (Table 1, Figure 1), about 5\% above the 2017 ABC of $5,125 \mathrm{mt}=11.299$ million lbs. The total catch in 2017 was the lowest in the assessment time series (1982-2017).

State and Federal survey abundance and biomass indices generally have decreased from their most recent peaks during 2009-2012 to 2017 (Figures 2-11), with the exception of the Massachusetts and Delaware indices. Massachusetts indices decreased in 2017 from their time series peaks in 2016. The Delaware index peaked in 2017. Indices of recruitment (age 0 fish) were generally lower over the last 6-7 years than in the previous decade; recruitment indices in 2017 were highly variable (Figures 12-18). The Massachusetts and one of the Delaware recruitment indices were high in 2017. Note that the NEFSC Fall survey was unable to sample the summer flounder strata in 2017 so no indices are available (Figures 2 \& 4). The NEFSC Spring biomass index increased by $87 \%$ from 2017 to 2018 (Figures 2-3).

Projections using the existing 2016 updated assessment model (data through 2015) were made to estimate the 2019 OFL and ABC catches. The projections use the reported/estimated catches for 2016 and 2017 and assume that $100 \%$ of the $2018 \mathrm{ABC}(5,999 \mathrm{mt}=13.226$ million lbs) will be caught. The OFL projection uses F2019 = FMSY $=0.309$ and so the total catch in 2019 is the projected $\mathrm{OFL}=9,343 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 20.598 million lbs). The ABC projection sets the CV of the OFL at $60 \%$ (MAFMC SSC assumption for summer flounder in 2016) and so the total catch in 2019 is the projected $\mathrm{ABC}=6,988 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 15.406 million lbs), about $75 \%$ of the projected OFL (Table 2).

Table 1. Commercial (comm) and recreational (recr) fishery landings, estimated commercial and recreational dead discard, and total catch (metric tons) as used in the assessment of summer flounder, Maine to North Carolina. Includes MRIP 2004-2017 estimates of recreational catch, and 1982-2003 recreational catch adjusted by the 2004-2011 MRIP to MRFSS ratio for each catch type.

| Year | Comm <br> Landings | Comm <br> Discard | Comm Catch | Recr Landings | Recr Discard | Recr <br> Catch | Total Landings | Total Discard | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 10,400 | n/a | 10,400 | 8,163 | 284 | 8,447 | 18,563 | 284 | 18,847 |
| 1983 | 13,403 | n/a | 13,403 | 12,527 | 361 | 12,888 | 25,930 | 361 | 26,291 |
| 1984 | 17,130 | n/a | 17,130 | 8,405 | 399 | 8,804 | 25,535 | 399 | 25,934 |
| 1985 | 14,675 | n/a | 14,675 | 5,594 | 88 | 5,682 | 20,269 | 88 | 20,357 |
| 1986 | 12,186 | n/a | 12,186 | 8,000 | 555 | 8,555 | 20,186 | 555 | 20,741 |
| 1987 | 12,271 | n/a | 12,271 | 5,450 | 502 | 5,951 | 17,721 | 502 | 18,222 |
| 1988 | 14,686 | n/a | 14,686 | 6,550 | 328 | 6,878 | 21,236 | 328 | 21,564 |
| 1989 | 8,125 | 456 | 8,581 | 1,417 | 43 | 1,460 | 9,542 | 499 | 10,041 |
| 1990 | 4,199 | 898 | 5,097 | 2,300 | 225 | 2,525 | 6,499 | 1,122 | 7,621 |
| 1991 | 6,224 | 219 | 6,443 | 3,566 | 412 | 3,978 | 9,790 | 631 | 10,420 |
| 1992 | 7,529 | 2,151 | 9,680 | 3,201 | 332 | 3,533 | 10,730 | 2,483 | 13,213 |
| 1993 | 5,715 | 701 | 6,416 | 3,956 | 874 | 4,830 | 9,671 | 1,575 | 11,246 |
| 1994 | 6,588 | 1,535 | 8,123 | 4,178 | 660 | 4,838 | 10,766 | 2,195 | 12,961 |
| 1995 | 6,977 | 821 | 7,798 | 2,428 | 723 | 3,152 | 9,405 | 1,545 | 10,950 |
| 1996 | 5,861 | 1,436 | 7,297 | 4,398 | 656 | 5,054 | 10,259 | 2,092 | 12,351 |
| 1997 | 3,994 | 806 | 4,800 | 5,314 | 535 | 5,849 | 9,308 | 1,341 | 10,649 |
| 1998 | 5,076 | 634 | 5,710 | 5,588 | 705 | 6,293 | 10,664 | 1,339 | 12,003 |
| 1999 | 4,820 | 1,660 | 6,480 | 3,747 | 683 | 4,430 | 8,567 | 2,343 | 10,910 |
| 2000 | 5,085 | 1,617 | 6,702 | 7,376 | 915 | 8,291 | 12,461 | 2,532 | 14,993 |
| 2001 | 4,970 | 405 | 5,375 | 5,213 | 1,225 | 6,438 | 10,183 | 1,630 | 11,813 |
| 2002 | 6,573 | 922 | 7,495 | 3,586 | 746 | 4,332 | 10,159 | 1,668 | 11,827 |
| 2003 | 6,450 | 1,144 | 7,594 | 5,213 | 847 | 6,060 | 11,663 | 1,991 | 13,653 |
| 2004 | 7,880 | 1,606 | 9,486 | 4,974 | 1,013 | 5,987 | 12,854 | 2,619 | 15,473 |
| 2005 | 7,671 | 1,484 | 9,155 | 4,929 | 950 | 5,879 | 12,600 | 2,434 | 15,034 |
| 2006 | 6,316 | 1,482 | 7,798 | 4,804 | 768 | 5,572 | 11,120 | 2,250 | 13,370 |
| 2007 | 4,544 | 2,110 | 6,654 | 4,199 | 1,002 | 5,201 | 8,743 | 3,112 | 11,855 |
| 2008 | 4,179 | 1,162 | 5,341 | 3,689 | 1,154 | 4,843 | 7,868 | 2,316 | 10,184 |
| 2009 | 5,013 | 1,446 | 6,459 | 2,716 | 1,140 | 3,856 | 7,729 | 2,586 | 10,316 |
| 2010 | 6,078 | 1,466 | 7,544 | 2,317 | 1,066 | 3,383 | 8,395 | 2,532 | 10,927 |
| 2011 | 7,515 | 1,096 | 8,611 | 2,645 | 1,093 | 3,738 | 10,160 | 2,189 | 12,349 |
| 2012 | 5,916 | 718 | 6,634 | 2,853 | 815 | 3,668 | 8,769 | 1,533 | 10,302 |
| 2013 | 5,643 | 712 | 6,355 | 3,351 | 758 | 4,109 | 8,994 | 1,470 | 10,464 |
| 2014 | 4,991 | 785 | 5,776 | 3,356 | 932 | 4,288 | 8,347 | 1,717 | 10,064 |
| 2015 | 4,843 | 670 | 5,513 | 2,209 | 563 | 2,772 | 7,052 | 1,233 | 8,285 |
| 2016 | 3,542 | 738 | 4,280 | 2,804 | 671 | 3,475 | 6,346 | 1,409 | 7,755 |
| 2017 | 2,644 | 854 | 3,498 | 1,447 | 426 | 1,873 | 4,091 | 1,280 | 5,371 |

Table 2. Summer flounder 2019 OFL and ABC Projections.

OFL Projection: Projection assumes that $100 \%$ of the $2018 \mathrm{ABC}(5,999 \mathrm{mt}=13.226$ million lbs) will be caught. Total catch in 2019 is the projected OFL.

Total Catch, Landings, Discards, Fishing Mortality (F), and Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) Catches and SSB in metric tons

| Year | Total Catch | Landings | Discards | F | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 7,750 | 6,341 | 1,409 | 0.327 | 39,428 |
| 2017 | 5,371 | 4,091 | 1,280 | 0.214 | 43,107 |
| 2018 | 5,999 | 5,010 | 989 | 0.215 | 48,389 |
| 2019 | 9,343 | 7,780 | 1,563 | 0.309 | 51,225 |

ABC Projection: Projection assumes that $100 \%$ of the 2018 ABC ( $5,999 \mathrm{mt}=13.226$ million lbs) will be caught. Total catch in 2019 is the projected ABC. Projection sets the CV of the OFL at $60 \%$ (MAFMC SSC assumption for summer flounder in 2016).

Total Catch, Landings, Discards, Fishing Mortality (F), and Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB)

Catches and SSB in metric tons

| Year | Total Catch | Existing <br> ABC | Landings | Discards | F | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ value | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 7,750 | 7,375 | 6,341 | 1,409 | 0.327 | 0.641 | 39,248 |
| 2017 | 5,371 | 5,125 | 4,091 | 1,280 | 0.214 | 0.010 | 43,107 |
| 2018 | 5,999 | 5,999 | 5,010 | 989 | 0.215 | 0.100 | 48,389 |
| 2019 | 6,988 | n/a | 5,834 | 1,154 | 0.225 | 0.300 | 53,198 |



Figure 1. Summer flounder fishery total catch.

NEFSC Trawl Surveys


Figure 2. NEFSC trawl survey biomass indices for summer flounder. 'ALB' indices are calibrated FSV Albatross IV indices; 'HBB' indices are uncalibrated FSV Bigelow indices.


Figure 3. NEFSC spring trawl survey indices of summer flounder biomass. Whiskers around each annual index represent $+/$ - one standard deviation. Dashed lines represent $80 \%$ confidence intervals around the 2007-2011 mean, a period when the stock was estimated to be at or above SSBMSY and not experiencing overfishing.


Figure 4. NEFSC fall trawl survey indices of summer flounder biomass. Whiskers around each annual index represent $+/$ - one standard deviation. Dashed lines represent $80 \%$ confidence intervals around the 2007-2011 mean, a period when the stock was estimated to be at or above SSBMSY and not experiencing overfishing.

## NEFSC Larval Surveys



Figure 5. NEFSC larval survey indices of summer flounder spawning stock biomass (SSB).

## MA Trawl Surveys



Figure 6. MADMF trawl survey indices for summer flounder.

## RI Trawl Surveys



Figure 7. RIDFW and URIGSO trawl survey indices for summer flounder.

## CT and NY Trawl Surveys



Figure 8. CTDEP and NYDEC trawl survey indices for summer flounder.

## NJ and DE Trawl Surveys



Figure 9. NJDMF and DEDFW trawl survey indices for summer flounder.

## ChesMMap and NEAMAP Trawl Surveys



Figure 10. ChesMMAP and NEAMAP trawl survey indices for summer flounder.


Figure 11. Summer flounder aggregate indices of numeric abundance.

NEFSC Fall Age 0 Index


Figure 12. NEFSC age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.

MA and RI Age 0 Indices


Figure 13. MADMF and RIDFW age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.

## CT, NY and NJ Age 0 Indices



Figure 14. CTDEP, NYDEC, and NJDFW age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.

## DE Age 0 Indices



Figure 15. DEDFW age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.

MD, VIMS and NC Age 0 Indices


Figure 16. MDDNR, VIMS, and NCDMF age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.

ChesMMAP and NEAMAP Age 0 Indices


Figure 17. ChesMMAP and NEAMAP age 0 abundance indices for summer flounder.


Figure 18. Summer flounder age 0 recruitment indices.

## Kiley Dancy

| From: | Moore, Christopher |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:40 PM |
| To: | Beaty, Julia; Kiley Dancy |
| Subject: | Fw: August Meeting on Flounder |

fyi

From: Gene Doebley [gdoebley@gmail.com](mailto:gdoebley@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 8:10 PM
To: Moore, Christopher
Subject: August Meeting on Flounder

## Dr. Chris Moore

RE: The MAFMC and ASMFC meeting Aug 13 to 15
I wish to take this opportunity to offer comment on the current state of fluke management.
The issue as I see it is that the current regulations have us killing all the breeding females. Almost all fish over 18 " are females and setting regulations that kill the breeding stock is counterproductive to population growth. We need to reduce the current $18^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size. We are killing too many fish due to dead discards while trying to find a keeper, especially in South Jersey where the fish are smaller. A slot fish would solve this problem and allow fishermen to take a fish home.
South Jersey and North Jersey are geologically different. We need to set different regulations to recognize this difference and address the fact that we do not have the large schools of big fluke in the south. The current Delaware Bay regulation for NJ could be expanded to cover the incubator areas in NJ's shallow bays by moving the dividing line to below 40degrees N and inside the ColRegs line.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gene Doebley
Somers Point, NJ

## Kiley Dancy

From:<br>Moore, Christopher<br>Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 10:27 AM<br>To: Beaty, Julia; Kiley Dancy<br>Subject:<br>Fw: Fluke Regulations

fyi

From: william tedor [wtedor@comcast.net](mailto:wtedor@comcast.net)
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 9:10 PM
To: Moore, Christopher
Subject: Fluke Regulations

Dear Mr Moore,
I am writing to you to voice my opinion for 2019 New Jersey Fluke regulations.I believe that these regulations should be in line with Delaware and Maryland Regulations with a 16 and $1 / 2$ inch size limit. The reasoning for this belief is by keeping all 18 inch and over fluke we are taking all the females out of the population and causing the stock to be depleted.Another good reason to lower the size limit is it would cut down on the dead loss from catch and release fish below 18 inches. The mortality rate for these fish is supposedly very high and this would partially address this problem. I would also like to have the season of Delaware and Maryland but realize this may not be feasible.Thank you for giving me this forum to voice my opinion. Bill Tedor

## Kiley Dancy

| From: | Moore, Christopher |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, August 1, 2018 1:54 PM |
| To: | Kiley Dancy; Beaty, Julia |
| Subject: | FW: NJ Fluke Regs |

From: Jeff Hale [jhale@sudlerco.com](mailto:jhale@sudlerco.com)
Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 1:29 PM
To: Moore, Christopher [cmoore@mafmc.org](mailto:cmoore@mafmc.org)
Subject: NJ Fluke Regs

Dear Sir:

I have been fishing recreationally for Fluke for many years. As the size requirements increase for a 'keeper', the outcome is increasingly producing smaller fish.

Your system is inverted and discourages population growth:

- More and more throw backs, sometimes it's a 12-16/1 ratio to 'find' a keeper.
- These shorts are returned/ released in stressed condition and sometimes gut hooked and dead.
- The 'keepers' are the breeders, which are what is being taken out of the bio mass.


## Solution:

- Create a slot fish and a limit; $16^{\prime \prime}$ with a limit of 4 per angler per day.
- Plus one 'trophy' fish.... 20 " plus per angler per day.

Benefits:
-Reduction of mortality of short fish and discards.
-Less pressure on the breeder stock...hopefully increasing the breeder stock and bio mass.

It seems to me that there is an abundance of $16^{\prime \prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ fish on my trips. I see sometimes $10-20$ shorts in the $15^{\prime \prime}-17^{\prime \prime}$ range per trip. Most people never hit their 3 fish keeper limit.

I think the fishery needs a slot category. The current system is not effective.

Regards,

## Jeffrey H. Hale

Vice President - Leasing
The Sudler Companies
www.sudlerco.com

From:<br>Moore, Christopher<br>Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 2:41 PM<br>To: Kiley Dancy; Beaty, Julia<br>Subject: FW: Future NJ Fluke Regulations

fyi
From: Kevin Sullivan [admin@kpsullivan.net](mailto:admin@kpsullivan.net)
Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 2:36 PM
To: Moore, Christopher [cmoore@mafmc.org](mailto:cmoore@mafmc.org)
Subject: Future NJ Fluke Regulations

## Dr. Moore

First and foremost, thank you in advance for taking the time to read this message and thank you as well for your work in the MAMFC; I firmly believe that we share the same passionate desire to maintain a healthy and sustainable fishery in the mid-Atlantic.

The reason that I am sending this message today is to voice concerns that I have over the management of the summer flounder fishery in New Jersey, particularly South Jersey. As you certainly know, we are currently faced with an 18" limit on summer flounder with a per-angler limit of three fish per-day with limited exceptions for both the Delaware Bay as well as Island Beach State Park. The concern that I have, however, is that this size requirement would give the outward appearance of doing more potential harm to this fishery than good.

While I most certainly do not have advanced degrees in the field or anywhere near the experience of someone with your credentials and in your position, I fear that our current regulations are causing significant problems within the fluke biomass. My reasons for this are two-fold. First, the size requirement of what constitutes a fish which may be harvested seems to be honing in recreational angler's harvesting target on those fish which are most likely to be the larger breeding females. By harvesting and removing these fish, are we not hurting the next generation of this fishery? Also, while my information is more anecdotal than analytical, I believe that in the waters of South Jersey, it is actually uncommon for male fluke to reach the 18 " or larger size required to potentially be harvested. If true, this would mean that anglers are being specifically encouraged to damage the portion of the stock which should be most protected, the egg-carrying, mature females.

Secondarily, I also believe these regulations have created a new issue, or at the very least, exacerbated an existing one in the form of by-catch mortality. With the new 18" regulations, a significant number of 14 "-18" fish are being caught by recreational anglers and released; however, some of the statistics that I have seen have sited an up-to $60 \%$ mortality rate among these fish even when handled properly for release. This is extremely alarming because now, not only are we potentially targeting the fish which are most likely to replenish the stock naturally, but we are also culling the existing stock through by-catch mortality as anglers are being forced to 'sort through' the overwhelming majority of 14-18" fish while searching for an 18 " fish to be harvested.

I realize that NJ , specifically, can pose some unique challenges as the Northern half of the state and the southern half of the state are significantly different in terms of fisheries. I believe this is extremely evident by comparing the NJ regulations to those of Delaware to our immediate South and New York to our immediate North. While Delaware, whose waters are very much like that of South Jersey to the extent of having special regulations in DE Bay which are NJ waters, has a regulation of $16.5^{\prime \prime}$ with a 4 -fish limit, but open year-round. On the other hand, New York has a 19"
requirement with a 4 -fish limit and is open only between May 4th and September 30th. This seems to clearly illustrate a significant change in the fishery which occurs in the 130 miles of NJ coastline.

While I may not have your experience or education, I remain a firm believer in never highlighting a problem without offering a potential solution, so if I may be so bold as to make a suggestion, I would ask that the MAFMC please consider altering NJ regulations in one of two methods. First, I'd ask that simply consider dividing the state into two areas which would allow for more geocentric management which is specific to the regional biomass which seems to vary dramatically between the northern and southern parts of the state. Perhaps, given its already exempted status, Island Beach State Park could serve as the dividing line between these two regions. Should regionalization not prove possible, I would then ask that you please consider changing future regulations to something which would not have the negative impact on the biomass which current regulations do. My first instinct is to propose something along the lines of a 3 -fish limit with 2 fish $16-18$ " and one fish which is permitted to be over 18 " as a 'trophy fish' of sorts. I believe this approach will have a positive impact in two ways. First, it will lessen the culling which is occurring as part of the by-catch mortality of anglers releasing 16-18" fluke while pursuing 18"+ fish. Secondly, it should lessen the pressure on the larger primebreeding fluke which are in the 18 "+ class.

I appreciate the time you have taken to read this email, and I do appreciate the work that the MAFMC does. I believe that we share the same goals despite envisioning different ways of achieving them, and I hope that some of these suggestions could be taken into consideration as I sternly fear that our current summer flounder regulations will have a significant negative impact on this fishery if they remain unchanged; further, I believe that any size increase in the current regulations would only expound the current issues rather than alleviating them.

Thank you very much for your time.
Sincerely,
Kevin P. Sullivan

## Kiley Dancy

| From: | Moore, Christopher |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, August 1, 2018 6:13 PM |
| To: | Kiley Dancy; Beaty, Julia |
| Subject: | Fw: NJ Fluke Regs |

From: Ed Fiorentino [edward_fiorentino@comcast.net](mailto:edward_fiorentino@comcast.net)
Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 5:46 PM
To: Moore, Christopher
Subject: NJ Fluke Regs

## Dr. Moore

First and foremost, thank you in advance for taking the time to read this message and thank you as well for your work in the MAMFC; I firmly believe that we share the same passionate desire to maintain a healthy and sustainable fishery in the mid-Atlantic.

The reason that I am sending this message today is to voice concerns that I have over the management of the summer flounder fishery in New Jersey, particularly South Jersey. As you certainly know, we are currently faced with an 18" limit on summer flounder with a per-angler limit of three fish per-day with limited exceptions for both the Delaware Bay as well as Island Beach State Park. The concern that I have, however, is that this size requirement would give the outward appearance of doing more potential harm to this fishery than good.

While I most certainly do not have advanced degrees in the field or anywhere near the experience of someone with your credentials and in your position, I fear that our current regulations are causing significant problems within the fluke biomass. My reasons for this are two-fold. First, the size requirement of what constitutes a fish which may be harvested seems to be honing in recreational angler's harvesting target on those fish which are most likely to be the larger breeding females. By harvesting and removing these fish, are we not hurting the next generation of this fishery? Also, while my information is more anecdotal than analytical, I believe that in the waters of South Jersey, it is actually uncommon for male fluke to reach the 18" or larger size required to potentially be harvested. If true, this would mean that anglers are being specifically encouraged to damage the portion of the stock which should be most protected, the egg-carrying, mature females.

Secondarily, I also believe these regulations have created a new issue, or at the very least, exacerbated an existing one in the form of by-catch mortality. With the new 18" regulations, a significant number of 14"-18" fish are being caught by recreational anglers and released; however, some of the statistics that I have seen have sited an up-to $60 \%$ mortality rate among these fish even when handled properly for release. This is extremely alarming because now, not only are we potentially targeting the fish which are most likely to replenish the stock naturally, but we are also culling the existing stock through by-catch mortality as anglers are being forced to 'sort through' the overwhelming majority of 14-18" fish while searching for an 18" fish to
be harvested.

I realize that NJ, specifically, can pose some unique challenges as the Northern half of the state and the southern half of the state are significantly different in terms of fisheries. I believe this is extremely evident by comparing the NJ regulations to those of Delaware to our immediate South and New York to our immediate North. While Delaware, whose waters are very much like that of South Jersey to the extent of having special regulations in DE Bay which are $N J$ waters, has a regulation of $16.5^{\prime \prime}$ with a 4-fish limit, but open year-round. On the other hand, New York has a 19" requirement with a 4-fish limit and is open only between May 4th and September 30th. This seems to clearly illustrate a significant change in the fishery which occurs in the 130 miles of NJ coastline.

While I may not have your experience or education, I remain a firm believer in never highlighting a problem without offering a potential solution, so if I may be so bold as to make a suggestion, I would ask that the MAFMC please consider altering NJ regulations in one of two methods. First, I'd ask that simply consider dividing the state into two areas which would allow for more geocentric management which is specific to the regional biomass which seems to vary dramatically between the northern and southern parts of the state. Perhaps, given its already exempted status, Island Beach State Park could serve as the dividing line between these two regions. Should regionalization not prove possible, I would then ask that you please consider changing future regulations to something which would not have the negative impact on the biomass which current regulations do. My first instinct is to propose something along the lines of a 3-fish limit with 2 fish 1618 " and one fish which is permitted to be over 18 " as a 'trophy fish' of sorts. I believe this approach will have a positive impact in two ways. First, it will lessen the culling which is occurring as part of the by-catch mortality of anglers releasing 16-18" fluke while pursuing 18"+ fish. Secondly, it should lessen the pressure on the larger prime-breeding fluke which are in the $18^{\prime \prime}+$ class.

I appreciate the time you have taken to read this email, and I do appreciate the work that the MAFMC does. I believe that we share the same goals despite envisioning different ways of achieving them, and I hope that some of these suggestions could be taken into consideration as I sternly fear that our current summer flounder regulations will have a significant negative impact on this fishery if they remain unchanged; further, I believe that any size increase in the current regulations would only expound the current issues rather than alleviating them.

Thank you very much for your time.
Ed Fiorentino
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This document provides a brief overview of the biology, stock condition, management system, and fishery performance for summer flounder with an emphasis on 2017, the most recent complete fishing year.

## 1. Biology

Summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus) spawn during the fall and winter over the open ocean areas of the continental shelf. From October to May, larvae and postlarvae migrate inshore, entering coastal and estuarine nursery areas. Juveniles are distributed inshore and in many estuaries throughout the range of the species during spring, summer, and fall. Adult summer flounder exhibit strong seasonal inshore-offshore movements, normally inhabiting shallow coastal and estuarine waters during the warmer months of the year and remaining offshore during the colder months.

Summer flounder habitat includes pelagic waters, demersal waters, saltmarsh creeks, seagrass beds, mudflats, and open bay areas from the Gulf of Maine through North Carolina. Summer flounder are opportunistic feeders; their prey includes a variety of fish and crustaceans. While the natural predators of adult summer flounder are not fully documented, larger predators (e.g., large sharks, rays, and monkfish) probably include summer flounder in their diets. ${ }^{1}$

Spawning occurs during autumn and early winter, and the larvae are transported toward coastal areas by prevailing water currents. Development of post larvae and juveniles occurs primarily within bays and estuarine areas. Most fish are sexually mature by age 2 . The largest fish are females, which can attain lengths over $90 \mathrm{~cm}(36 \mathrm{in})$ and weights up to $11.8 \mathrm{~kg}(26 \mathrm{lb})$. Recent NEFSC trawl survey data indicate that while female summer flounder grow faster (reaching a larger size at the same age), the sexes attain about the same maximum age (currently age 15 at 56 cm for males, and age 14 at 65 cm for females). Unsexed commercial fishery samples currently indicate a maximum age of 17 for an 85 cm fish (M. Terceiro, NEFSC, personal communication).

## 2. Status of the Stock

The most recent benchmark summer flounder stock assessment was completed and reviewed during the $57^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Workshop and Stock Assessment Review Committee (SAW/SARC 57). ${ }^{3}$ This assessment uses a statistical catch at age model (the age-structured assessment program, or "ASAP" model). Stock assessment and peer review reports are available online at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) website:
http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/saw/reports.html.
The last stock assessment update was completed by the NEFSC in June 2016, and incorporated data through 2015 into the population model used for the previous benchmark assessment. The 2016 assessment update indicated that the summer flounder stock was not overfished, but that overfishing was occurring in 2015, relative to the biological reference points established through the SAW/SARC 57 assessment. The model-estimated spawning stock biomass (SSB) was estimated to
be 79.90 million $\mathrm{lb}(36,240 \mathrm{mt})$ in $2015,58 \%$ of the spawning stock biomass at maximum sustainable yield, $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=137.56$ million $\mathrm{lb}(62,394 \mathrm{mt})$. The fishing mortality rate $(\mathrm{F})$ in 2015 was 0.390 , $26 \%$ above the fishing mortality threshold reference point $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSYPROXY }}=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{4}$

The 2016 assessment update indicated that while catch in recent years has not been substantially over the ABCs, the projected fishing mortality rates have been exceeded and projected spawning stock biomass has not been achieved. The assessment update shows a moderate internal model retrospective pattern with continued recent underestimation of F and overestimation of SSB. The assessment update indicates that the previous assessment had overestimated recruitment for several of the preceding years. These results appear to be largely driven by below average recruitment in each year from 2010-2015. The update shows that recruitment of age 0 fish was below the time series average ( 41 million fish at age 0; 1982-2015) each year from 2010 through 2015. Recruitment of age 0 fish in 2015 was estimated at 23 million fish. ${ }^{4}$

In June 2017, the Council received a data update for summer flounder, including updated catch and landings information as well as survey indices through 2016. No new stock projections or estimates of stock status were provided. The data update indicates that there is little evidence to suggest a substantial change in stock status from the 2016 assessment update (data through 2015). Most state and federal survey indices of abundance, with the exception of Massachusetts, remain below their most recent peaks (generally 2009-2012). Many of the indices decreased slightly between 2015 and 2016. Recruitment indices in 2016 were highly variable.

A new data update, including recent estimates of commercial and recreational fishery catch and fishery independent indices, will be provided by the NEFSC in July 2018.


Figure 1: Total fishery catch and fully-recruited fishing mortality (F, peak at age 4) of summer flounder, 1982-2015. The horizontal dashed red line is the 2013 SAW 57 fishing mortality threshold reference point proxy. ${ }^{4}$


Figure 2: Summer flounder spawning stock biomass (SSB; solid line) and recruitment at age 0 ( R ; vertical bars) by calendar year, 1982-2015. The horizontal long-dashed line is the 2013 SAW 57 biomass target reference point proxy, the horizontal short-dashed red line is the biomass threshold reference point proxy. ${ }^{4}$

## 3. Management System and Overall Fishery Performance

The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) and the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission or ASMFC) work cooperatively to develop fishery regulations for summer flounder off the east coast of the United States. The Council and Commission work in conjunction with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), which serves as the federal implementation and enforcement entity. This cooperative management endeavor was developed because a significant portion of the catch is taken from both state ( $0-3$ miles offshore) and federal waters (3-200 miles offshore, also known as the Exclusive Economic Zone, or EEZ).

The joint Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for summer flounder became effective in 1988, and established the management unit for summer flounder as U.S. waters in the western Atlantic Ocean from the southern border of North Carolina northward to the U.S.-Canadian border. The FMP also established measures to ensure effective management of summer flounder fisheries, which currently include catch and landings limits, commercial quotas, recreational harvest limits, minimum fish sizes, gear regulations, permit requirements, and other provisions as prescribed by the FMP.

There are large commercial and recreational fisheries for summer flounder. These fisheries are managed primarily using output controls (catch and landings limits), with 60 percent of the landings being allocated to the commercial fishery as a commercial quota and 40 percent allocated
to the recreational fishery as a recreational harvest limit. Management also uses minimum fish sizes, gear regulations, permit requirements, and other provisions as prescribed by the FMP. Summer flounder was under a stock rebuilding strategy beginning in 2000 until it was declared rebuilt in 2011, based on an assessment update with data through 2010. Although the most recent (2016) assessment update included a revised biomass time series indicating that estimated biomass never actually reached the target biomass, current biomass estimates are still above the minimum stock size threshold that would trigger a new rebuilding plan. The Summer Flounder FMP, including subsequent Amendments and Frameworks, are available on the Council website at: http://www.mafmc.org/fisheries/fmp/sf-s-bsb.

The Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) recommends annual Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) levels for summer flounder, which are then approved by the Council and Commission and submitted to NMFS for final approval and implementation. The ABC is divided into commercial and recreational Annual Catch Limits (ACLs), based on the landings allocation prescribed in the FMP and the recent distribution of discards between the commercial and recreational fisheries. The Council first implemented recreational and commercial ACLs, with a system of overage accountability, in 2012. Both the ABC and the ACLs are catch limits (i.e., include both projected landings and discards), while the commercial quota and the recreational harvest limit are landing limits. Table 1 shows summer flounder catch and landings limits from 2007 through 2018, as well as commercial and recreational landings through 2017.

Total (commercial and recreational combined) summer flounder landings generally declined throughout the early 1980s, dropping to a time series low of 14.39 million lb in 1990, and in 2017 were about 9.02 million lb total (Figure 3). ${ }^{5,6}$

Table 1: Summary of catch limits, landings limits, and landings for commercial and recreational summer flounder fisheries from 2007 through 2018.

| Management measures | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC (mil. lb) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | -- | 21.50 | 25.5 | 33.95 | 25.58 | 22.34 | 21.94 | 22.57 | 16.26 | 11.30 | 13.23 |
| Commercial ACL (mil. lb) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 14.00 | 12.11 | 12.87 | 13.34 | 9.43 | 6.57 | 7.70 |
| Commercial quota (mil. lb) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9.79 | 9.32 | 10.74 | 12.79 | 17.38 | 12.73 | 11.44 | 10.51 | 11.07 | 8.12 | 5.66 | 6.63 |
| Commercial landings (mil lb.) | 10.04 | 9.21 | 10.94 | 13.04 | 16.56 | 13.03 | 12.49 | 11.07 | 10.68 | 7.81 | 5.83 | -- |
| $\%$ of commercial quota landed | 103\% | 99\% | 102\% | 102\% | 95\% | 102\% | 109\% | 105\% | 96\% | 96\% | 103\% | -- |
| Recreational ACL (mil. lb) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 11.58 | 10.23 | 9.07 | 9.44 | 6.84 | 4.72 | 5.53 |
| Recreational harvest limit (mil. lb) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.68 | 6.21 | 7.16 | 8.59 | 11.58 | 8.49 | 7.63 | 7.01 | 7.38 | 5.42 | 3.77 | 4.42 |
| Recreational landings (mil. lb) | 9.34 | 8.15 | 6.03 | 5.11 | 5.96 | 6.49 | 7.36 | 7.39 | 4.72 | 6.18 | 3.19 | -- |
| $\%$ of recreational harvest limit landed | 140\% | 131\% | 84\% | 59\% | 51\% | 76\% | 97\% | 105\% | 64\% | 114\% | 85\% | -- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The ABC is the annual Acceptable Biological Catch for the entire summer flounder fishery, and is divided into sectorspecific Annual Catch Limits (ACLs) for the commercial and recreational fisheries. The ABC and ACLs include both landings and discards.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Commercial quotas and recreational harvest limits reflect the removal of projected discards from the sector-specific ACLs. For 2006-2014, these limits are also adjusted for Research Set Aside (RSA). Quotas and harvest limits for 2015-2018 do not reflect an adjustment for RSA due to the suspension of the program in 2014.


Figure 3: Commercial and recreational summer flounder landings in millions of pounds, MaineNorth Carolina, 1980-2017. ${ }^{5,6}$

## 4. Commercial Summer Flounder Measures and Fishery Performance

Commercial landings of summer flounder peaked in 1984 at 37.77 million pounds, and reached a low of 5.83 million pounds in 2017 (corresponding to $103 \%$ of the commercial quota) according to preliminary data (Figure 3). ${ }^{5}$

In federal waters, a moratorium permit is required to fish commercially for summer flounder. Permit data indicate that 766 commercial moratorium permits for summer flounder were issued in 2017. ${ }^{7}$

The commercial quota is divided among the states based on the allocation percentages given in Table 2 and each state sets measures to achieve their state-specific commercial quotas. The Council and ASFMC are currently considering modifications to the commercial allocations through a Summer Flounder Commercial Issues Amendment (see: http://www.mafmc.org/actions/summer-flounder-amendment). If adopted, any changes to allocations would not be implemented until at least January 1, 2020.

Table 2: State-by-state percent share of commercial summer flounder allocation.

| State | Allocation (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ME | 0.04756 |
| NH | 0.00046 |
| MA | 6.82046 |
| RI | 15.68298 |
| CT | 2.25708 |
| NY | 7.64699 |
| NJ | 16.72499 |
| DE | 0.01779 |
| MD | 2.03910 |
| VA | 21.31676 |
| NC | 27.44584 |
| Total | 100 |

Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data for 2017 indicate that the bulk of the summer flounder landings were taken by bottom otter trawls ( 96 percent). All other gear types each accounted for less than 1 percent of landings. ${ }^{8}$ Current regulations require a 14 -inch total length minimum fish size in the commercial fishery. Trawl nets are required to have 5.5 -inch diamond or 6 -inch square minimum mesh in the entire net for vessels possessing more than the threshold amount of summer flounder (i.e., 200 lb from November 1-April 30 and 100 lb from May 1-October 31).

VTR data were also used to identify all NMFS statistical areas that accounted for more than 5 percent of the summer flounder commercial catch in 2017 (Table 3; Figure 4). Statistical areas 616 and 537 were responsible for the highest percentage of the catch ( $24 \%$ and $23 \%$ respectively; Table 3). While statistical area 539 accounted for only $6 \%$ of 2016 summer flounder catch, this area had the highest number of trips that caught summer flounder (2,478 trips). ${ }^{8}$ Note that discards on VTRs are self-reported.

Table 3: Statistical areas that accounted for at least 5 percent of the total summer flounder catch in 2017, with associated number of trips. ${ }^{8}$

| Statistical Area | Percent of 2017 <br> Commercial Summer <br> Flounder Catch | Number of Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 616 | $24 \%$ | 823 |
| 537 | $23 \%$ | 1,469 |
| 613 | $13 \%$ | 1,617 |
| 612 | $7 \%$ | 1,205 |
| 615 | $7 \%$ | 425 |
| 539 | $6 \%$ | 2,478 |



Figure 4: NMFS Statistical Areas, highlighting those that each accounted for more than 5\% of the commercial summer flounder catch in 2017. ${ }^{8}$

For the years 1994 through 2017, NMFS dealer data indicate that summer flounder total ex-vessel revenue from Maine to North Carolina ranged from a low of $\$ 21.64$ million in 1996 to a high of $\$ 35.36$ million in 2004 (values adjusted to 2017 dollars to account for inflation). The mean price per pound for summer flounder ranged from a low of $\$ 1.77$ in 2011 (in 2017 dollars) to a high of $\$ 4.22$ in 2017. In 2017, 5.83 million pounds of summer flounder were landed generating $\$ 24.60$ million in total ex-vessel revenue (an average of $\$ 4.22$ per pound; Figure 5). ${ }^{5}$


Figure 5: Landings, ex-vessel value, and price per pound for summer flounder, Maine through North Carolina, 1994-2017. Ex-vessel value and price are adjusted to real 2017 dollars. ${ }^{5}$

At least $100,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of summer flounder were landed by commercial fishermen at each of 13 ports in seven states in 2017. These 13 ports accounted for approximately $82 \%$ of all 2017 commercial summer flounder landings. Beaufort, NC and Point Judith, RI were the leading ports in 2017 in terms of pounds of summer flounder landed, while Point Judith, RI was the leading port in terms of the number of vessels landing summer flounder (Table 4). ${ }^{5}$ Detailed community profiles developed by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center's Social Science Branch can be found at www.mafmc.org/communities/.

Table 4: Ports reporting at least $100,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of summer flounder in 2017, and the corresponding percentage of total 2016 commercial summer flounder landings and number of vessels. ${ }^{5}$

| Port | Summer <br> Flounder <br> Landings (lb) | \% of 2017 <br> commercial summer <br> flounder landings | Number of <br> vessels |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEAUFORT | 902,639 | 15 | 69 |
| POINT JUDITH | 770,412 | 13 | 140 |
| HAMPTON | 598,478 | 10 | 57 |
| PT. PLEASANT | 480,258 | 8 | 58 |
| NEWPORT NEWS | 428,416 | 7 | 43 |
| MONTAUK | 289,375 | 5 | 77 |
| WANCHESE | 274,174 | 5 | 25 |
| BELFORD | 241,572 | 4 | 20 |
| NEW BEDFORD | 211,907 | 4 | 69 |
| CHINCOTEAGUE | 192,609 | 3 | 25 |
| CAPE MAY | 132,848 | 2 | 49 |
| ENGELHARD | 131,580 | 2 | 9 |
| ORIENTAL | 105,698 | 2 | 10 |

Over 194 federally permitted dealers from Maine through North Carolina bought summer flounder in 2017. More dealers bought summer flounder in New York than in any other state (Table 5). All dealers combined bought approximately $\$ 24.60$ million worth of summer flounder in 2017. ${ }^{5}$

Table 5: Dealers reporting buying summer flounder, by state in 2017. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{C}=$ Confidential.

| State | MA | RI | CT | NY | NJ | DE | MD | VA | NC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> Of Dealers | 27 | 29 | 16 | 45 | 25 | C | 6 | 16 | 30 |

## 5. Recreational Summer Flounder Measures and Fishery Performance

There is a significant recreational fishery for summer flounder, primarily in state waters when the fish migrate inshore during the warm summer months. The Council and ASMFC determine annually whether to manage the recreational fishery under coastwide measures or conservation equivalency. Under conservation equivalency, state- or region- specific measures are developed through the ASMFC's management process and submitted to NMFS. The combined state or regional measures must achieve the same level of conservation as would a set of coastwide measures developed to adhere to the overall recreational harvest limit. If NMFS considers the combination of the state- or region- specific measures to be "equivalent" to the coastwide measures, they may then waive the coastwide regulation in federal waters. Anglers fishing in federal waters are then subject to the measures of the state in which they land summer flounder.

The recreational fishery has been managed using conservation equivalency each year since 2001. From 2001 through 2013, measures were developed under state-by-state conservation equivalency. Since 2014, a regional approach has been used, under which the states within each region must
have identical size limits, possession limits, and season length. The 2018 regional conservation equivalency measures are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Summer flounder recreational fishing measures in 2018, by state, under regional conservation equivalency. 2018 regions include: 1) Massachusetts, 2) Rhode Island, 3) Connecticut and New York, 4) New Jersey, 5) Delaware, Maryland, The Potomac River Fisheries Commission, and Virginia, and 6) North Carolina.

| State | Minimum Size <br> (inches) | Possession Limit | Open Season |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 5 fish |  |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 6 fish | May 1-December 31 |
| Connecticut | 19 |  | M fish |

Recreational data for years 2004 and later are available from the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP). For years prior to 2004, recreational data were generated by the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (MRFSS). Recreational catch and landings for summer flounder peaked in 1983 with 32.11 million fish caught and 21.00 million fish landed ( 27.97 million pounds). Catch reached a low in 1989 with 2.69 million fish caught, while landings reached a low in 2017 with 1.03 million fish landed ( 3.19 million pounds; Table 7). ${ }^{6}$

For-hire vessels carrying passengers in federal waters must obtain a federal party/charter permit. In 2017, there were 820 party and charter vessels that held summer flounder federal for-hire permits. ${ }^{7}$ Many of these vessels also hold recreational permits for scup and black sea bass.

Table 7: Recreational summer flounder landings data from the NMFS recreational statistics databases, Maine through North Carolina, 1981-2017. ${ }^{6}$

| Year | Catch (millions of fish) | Landings (millions of fish) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Landings } \\ \text { (millions of pounds) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 13.58 | 9.57 | 10.08 |
| 1982 | 23.56 | 15.47 | 18.23 |
| 1983 | 32.06 | 21.00 | 27.97 |
| 1984 | 29.78 | 17.48 | 18.76 |
| 1985 | 13.53 | 11.07 | 12.49 |
| 1986 | 25.29 | 11.62 | 17.86 |
| 1987 | 21.02 | 7.86 | 12.17 |
| 1988 | 17.17 | 9.96 | 14.62 |
| 1989 | 2.68 | 1.72 | 3.16 |
| 1990 | 9.10 | 3.79 | 5.13 |
| 1991 | 16.07 | 6.07 | 7.96 |
| 1992 | 11.91 | 5.00 | 7.15 |
| 1993 | 22.90 | 6.49 | 8.83 |
| 1994 | 17.73 | 6.70 | 9.33 |
| 1995 | 16.31 | 3.33 | 5.42 |
| 1996 | 18.99 | 7.00 | 9.82 |
| 1997 | 20.03 | 7.17 | 11.87 |
| 1998 | 22.09 | 6.98 | 12.48 |
| 1999 | 21.38 | 4.11 | 8.37 |
| 2000 | 25.38 | 7.80 | 16.47 |
| 2001 | 28.19 | 5.29 | 11.64 |
| 2002 | 16.67 | 3.26 | 8.01 |
| 2003 | 20.53 | 4.56 | 11.64 |
| 2004 | 20.34 | 4.32 | 11.02 |
| 2005 | 25.81 | 4.03 | 10.92 |
| 2006 | 21.40 | 3.95 | 10.50 |
| 2007 | 20.73 | 3.11 | 9.34 |
| 2008 | 22.90 | 2.35 | 8.15 |
| 2009 | 24.09 | 1.81 | 6.03 |
| 2010 | 23.72 | 1.50 | 5.11 |
| 2011 | 21.56 | 1.84 | 5.96 |
| 2012 | 16.53 | 2.27 | 6.49 |
| 2013 | 16.11 | 2.52 | 7.36 |
| 2014 | 18.97 | 2.46 | 7.39 |
| 2015 | 12.15 | 1.62 | 4.72 |
| 2016 | 14.17 | 2.03 | 6.18 |
| 2017 | 8.44 | 1.03 | 3.19 |

On average, an estimated 87 percent of the landings (in numbers of fish) occurred in state waters over the past ten years, and about 81 percent of landings came from state waters in 2017 (Table 8). The majority of summer flounder were landed in New York and New Jersey in 2017 (Table 9). ${ }^{6}$

Table 8: Estimated percentage of summer flounder recreational landings in state vs. federal waters, Maine through North Carolina, 2008-2017. ${ }^{6}$

| Year | State $<=\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ m i}$ | EEZ $>\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ m i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | $96.49 \%$ | $3.51 \%$ |
| 2009 | $90.93 \%$ | $9.07 \%$ |
| 2010 | $92.40 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ |
| 2011 | $95.31 \%$ | $4.69 \%$ |
| 2012 | $87.76 \%$ | $12.24 \%$ |
| 2013 | $76.97 \%$ | $23.03 \%$ |
| 2014 | $77.08 \%$ | $22.92 \%$ |
| 2015 | $80.95 \%$ | $19.05 \%$ |
| 2016 | $80.91 \%$ | $19.09 \%$ |
| 2017 | $79.57 \%$ | $20.43 \%$ |
| Avg. 2008-2017 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ |
| Avg. 2015-2017 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ |

Table 9: State contribution (as a percentage) to total recreational landings of summer flounder (in numbers of fish), from Maine through North Carolina, 2015-2017. ${ }^{6}$

| State | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| New Hampshire | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Massachusetts | $4.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Rhode Island | $10.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Connecticut | $5.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| New York | $30.3 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| New Jersey | $30.7 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| Delaware | $3.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Maryland | $2.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Virginia | $9.8 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| North Carolina | $2.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

MRIP data indicate that about $82 \%$ of recreational summer flounder landings in 2017 were caught by anglers fishing on private or rental boats, about $13 \%$ from anglers aboard party or charter boats, and $5 \%$ from shore (Table 10). ${ }^{6}$

Table 10: The number of summer flounder landed by recreational fishing mode, Maine through North Carolina, 1981-2017. ${ }^{6}$

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shore } \\ \text { (numbers of } \\ \text { fish) } \end{gathered}$ | Party/Charter (numbers of fish) | Private/Rental (numbers of fish) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 3,145,683 | 1,362,252 | 5,058,639 |
| 1982 | 1,120,521 | 5,936,006 | 8,416,173 |
| 1983 | 3,963,680 | 3,574,229 | 13,458,398 |
| 1984 | 1,355,595 | 2,495,733 | 13,623,843 |
| 1985 | 786,185 | 1,152,247 | 9,127,759 |
| 1986 | 1,237,033 | 1,608,907 | 8,774,921 |
| 1987 | 406,095 | 1,150,095 | 6,308,572 |
| 1988 | 945,864 | 1,134,353 | 7,879,442 |
| 1989 | 180,268 | 141,320 | 1,395,177 |
| 1990 | 261,898 | 413,240 | 3,118,447 |
| 1991 | 565,404 | 597,610 | 4,904,637 |
| 1992 | 275,474 | 375,245 | 4,351,387 |
| 1993 | 342,225 | 1,013,464 | 5,138,352 |
| 1994 | 447,184 | 836,362 | 5,419,145 |
| 1995 | 241,906 | 267,348 | 2,816,460 |
| 1996 | 206,927 | 659,876 | 6,130,182 |
| 1997 | 255,066 | 930,633 | 5,981,121 |
| 1998 | 316,314 | 360,777 | 6,302,004 |
| 1999 | 213,447 | 300,807 | 3,592,741 |
| 2000 | 569,612 | 648,755 | 6,582,707 |
| 2001 | 226,996 | 329,705 | 4,736,910 |
| 2002 | 154,958 | 261,554 | 2,845,647 |
| 2003 | 203,717 | 389,142 | 3,965,811 |
| 2004 | 200,368 | 463,776 | 3,652,354 |
| 2005 | 104,295 | 498,614 | 3,424,557 |
| 2006 | 154,414 | 315,935 | 3,479,934 |
| 2007 | 98,418 | 499,160 | 2,510,000 |
| 2008 | 79,339 | 171,951 | 2,098,583 |
| 2009 | 62,691 | 176,997 | 1,566,490 |
| 2010 | 59,812 | 160,109 | 1,281,546 |
| 2011 | 34,849 | 137,787 | 1,667,240 |
| 2012 | 106,344 | 169,473 | 1,996,404 |
| 2013 | 132,804 | 271,060 | 2,117,502 |
| 2014 | 79,918 | 439,550 | 1,938,535 |
| 2015 | 47,680 | 272,227 | 1,301,573 |
| 2016 | 62,383 | 144,423 | 1,820,964 |
| 2017 | 49,269 | 138,277 | 840,937 |
| \% of Total, 1981-2017 | 9\% | 14\% | 78\% |
| \% of Total, 2013-2017 | 4\% | 13\% | 83\% |
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