

# June 2014: 2015-2017 MSB Specs

Jason Didden MAFMC Plan Coordinator

1



### Process

Summarize Fishery Performance Reports

- For each species go through:
  - Catch history
  - Recent assessment/biological information
  - SSC findings
  - Monitoring Committee findings
  - Motions for specifications



## Fishery Performance Report Highlights (p21-29)

 Spiny dogfish are a big problem
Catch fluctuations may be related to environment, distribution, market, abundance trends, or other fisheries

Concern that once a quota is reduced, it will never be restored



## Fishery Performance Report Highlights (p21-29)

 Ecological roles should be considered
Trimesters affect total longfin catch
Proceed slowly with management changes (real-time squid management)
Butterfish fishery redevelopment uncertain – 2014 first full year



## Fishery Performance Report Highlights (p21-29)

Some issues raised were out of the scope of specifications and I advised attendees to contact the Council regarding those, e.g.:

Butterfish cap's existence

- Unused annual quota rollover
- Latent squid permits



## Fishery Performance Report Questions





### **Butterfish Catch**



8



### Butterfish Land 2013-2014





### **Butterfish Assessment**

2014 Assessment Passed (ASAP) 1989-2012: not overfished, no overfishing; 174% of rebuilt Positive comments from reviewers on progress 2012 recruitment very low, but terminal year most uncertain 10



### Butterfish SSB & F relative to BRPs





Don Flescher, NEFSC

## May 2014 SSC Meeting

#### ABC Recommendations for Butterfish



### Level 3 Assessment

- An OFL was provided in the assessment
- Estimates of uncertainty around the OFL developed in the assessment substantially underestimated the true level of uncertainty present.
- For example, the CV on the natural mortality rate (M) from the assessment (0.05) is unrealistic given the life history of this species.



## OFL

- The OFL developed in the assessment is an  $F_{msy}$  proxy =  $\frac{2}{3}$ \*M = 0.81.
- This proxy is based on an earlier determination by the SSC for a forage species like butterfish.

 The level of 2015 catch associated with this OFL is 41,092 mt.



### ABC

- The SSC adopted a CV for the OFL of 100% based on a meta-analysis of OFL distributions that the SSC has used previously.
- Since the foundation of the F<sub>msy</sub> proxy considers forage species explicitly, the SSC considers Butterfish as exhibiting a typical life history.
- The SSC applied the Council's risk policy for B/B<sub>msy</sub> > 1 and a P\* = 0.4 to generate a 2015 ABC = 33,278 mt.



## ABC (cont'd)

- The SSC recommends a 3-year ABC specification.
- Using an F-based approach, which assumes the ABCs are harvested in each year, the SSC recommends the following ABCs:
  - 2016 31,412 mt
  - 2017 30,922 mt
- The SSC will examine catch and updated indices in subsequent years.
- Projections used in subsequent years to calculate the ABCs for 2016 and 2017 be repeated using observed 2015 and 2016 catches.

## Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty

- The foundation for the OFL was *ad hoc* rather than being derived internally in the model.
- The application of an assumed q-value to estimate M, while novel and well thought out, contributes to uncertainty.
- The assessment was limited to a period of low stock productivity, well after a period of higher exploitation, which reduces the data contrast available to the model.

# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty (cont'd)

- Conflicting trends among seasonal surveys were not incorporated in the model.
- Model-based estimates of F are imprecise and particularly influenced by three years of low catch.
- There are residual trends in the survey data that might be explained by environmental or biotic (predation) factors that were not incorporated in the model.



### **Butterfish MC**

Staff assumptions:

Council would like level and stable fishery for 2015-2017.

Council wants similar constraints on the longfin squid fishery



### **Butterfish MC**

Staff assumptions:

Council wants similar constraints on the longfin squid fishery. Cap = 4,000 mt (now 3,884 mt)



### **Butterfish MC**

### Staff goal:

# Level quota, avoid overages to avoid paybacks.

## Leads to being conservative...



Staff assumptions:

Level = Use 2017 ABC = 30,922 mt, keep everything level throughout



Staff assumptions:

Level across years also requires avoiding ABC overages (don't want deductions in future years). Tradeoff...



Tradeoff: Larger buffers mean less chance of overages/paybacks Every 1,000 mt of "buffer" could potentially be about \$1.5 mil in landings plus about another \$4.5 mil in associated activity 24



 Overall management uncertainty buffer ABC-->ACT
-10%: closure issues, hard to predict discards, discard estimates may not be precise

Assumed discards



2010 ABC = 1,500 mt Catch = 4,593 mt

2011 ABC = 1,811 mt Catch = 2,276 mt



 Discards
Sixteen 10,000+ pound butterfish observed trips in observer database. Old – 1989-2000

21.7%



Discards
Five 25,000+ pound butterfish observed trips in observer database.

11.4%



Discards VTR - 12 10K trips in 2013, - 13 10K trips in 2014. -2.9% and 4.2% -5.0% and 4.9% for trips that reported some discards.



Butterfish		
Specification	Butterfish (mt)	
Overfishing Limit (OFL)	<b>41,092</b>	
Total Acceptable Biological		
Catch (ABC) from SSC =	30,922	Constant, from
ACL		lowest SSC year
		Biggest
Commercial Annual Catch	27,830	management
Target (10% less than ACL		uncertainty
to account/buffer for		concern is
management uncertainty)		discarding in new
		butterfish fishery
Landings or "Domestic	18,161	27,830 - These 4 equal the ACT
Annual Harvest (DAH)"		
Assumed discards in	5,032	
butterfish fishing		
Assumed other discards	637	
(highest from 3 cap years)		
Butterfish Cap (longfin	4,000	
discards)		



Simplified closure mechanisms: If DAH = 18,161 mt Go to 5,000 pound trip limit at 16,750 mt (1,411 mt) Go to 600 pound trip limit at 18,161 mt



### **Butterfish Specs**

OFL= 41,092 mt ■ ABC=ACL= ACT= DAH=  $\blacksquare CAP =$ TALFF=



### **Butterfish Specs**



### Close to 5,000 pounds at...

## Close to 600 pounds at DAH (?)



### Mackerel



### **Mackerel Catch**

Mackerel Landings in U.S. Waters



Figure 2. Atlantic mackerel landings within 200 miles of U.S. Coast (2013 Preliminary). Source: TRAC 2010, unpublished NEFSC dealer reports



### Mackerel Land 2013-2014




## **Mackerel Info**

No assessment – research track
2010 TRAC with Canada saw signs of lower productivity
Recent Canadian findings fairly dismal, they have lowered their quota in 2014.



## **Mackerel Info**

Figure 1: Atlantic mackerel relative abundance (stratified mean number-per-tow) and biomass (stratified mean kg-per-tow) indices derived from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for 1968-2013. The median number- and weight-per-tow values represent the median indices over 1968-2013.





## **Mackerel Info**

Figure 3: Annual mackerel length compositions (stratified mean numbers-at-length), averaged over nine or ten year time intervals, from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for 1968-2013.





Bill Overholtz, NEFSC

## May 2014 SSC Meeting

## ABC Recommendations for Atlantic Mackerel



## Level 4 Assessment

- No new US assessment was presented to the SSC.
- A Canadian assessment was conducted in 2014. However, for both the prior US (Deroba, et al. 2010) and current Canadian assessments there is a substantial mismatch between the assessed area and the assumed total stock area.



# OFL

#### Not possible.

#### No acceptable estimate of OFL is available.



## ABC

 The SSC concluded that the foundation that it used for developing its previous ABC – the average of the 2006-2008 catches – was inappropriate because 2006-2008 included a period of unusually high catches.



# ABC (cont'd)

- The SSC is unable to come up with a definitive ABC at this time because of concerns in the highly periodic nature of historical catches.
- Therefore, the SSC proposes an interim 1-yr ABC equal to the <u>median</u> of the 1978-2013 joint Canadian and US harvests.
- This period was chosen as a time when fisheries operations have been relatively consistent and foreign fleets were not in operation.
- The median of these harvests is **40,165 mt (= ABC)**.



## ABC (cont'd)

 The SSC recommends extending the analyses funded by the Council (Wiedenmann, et al.) that considers the performance of data poor approaches to ABC determination to include highly periodic catch time series, such as exhibited by Atlantic Mackerel.



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty

- Disparate trend between NEFSC trawl survey and both the commercial CPUE trend and landings together with Canadian egg survey data.
- Apparent, but not fully explainable changes in survey catchability.
- Surveys cover an unknown portion of entire range (variable availability).



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty (cont'd)

- Using a bottom trawl survey gear for a semipelagic species.
- Lack of quantification of the linkage between US and Canadian catches.
- No Canadian discard information and poor precision of U.S. discard and recreational estimates (though likely low).
- Lack of progression of age classes in recent years.





## **Mackerel MC**

ABC = 40,165 mt**Current Canadian quota plus** unreported catch est. plus discards reasonable deduction = 15,126 mt. U.S. ABC = 25,039 mt.



## **Mackerel MC**

OFL	Unknown
ABC	40,165
Canada	15,126
U.S. ABC (Canadian Catch Deducted)	25,039
Recreational Allocation 6.2%	1,552
Rec Catch Target 90%	1,397
Commercial Allocation (93.8%)	23,487
Commercial Catch Target (90% of	
Com Allocation)	21,138
Commercial Landings DAH (minus	
1.26% discards)	20,872

49



## **Mackerel MC**

No changes suggested for monitoring/closing.



# **Longfin Squid**



# **Longfin Squid Landings**







# **Longfin Info**

2010 assessment (SAW SARC 51), not overfished in 2009, but overfishing status not determined The assessment and reviewers concluded that the stock appears to be relatively lightly exploited.



# Longfin Info



Figure 1. 2010 Assessment Figure B6 - Annual biomass in relation to the proposed biomass threshold (which is ½ of the target) - shown here as a relative value



Don Flescher, NEFSC

## May 2014 SSC Meeting

## ABC Recommendations for Longfin Squid



### Level 4 Assessment

Although an assessment is available from 2010, it did not contain an OFL.



# OFL

#### Not possible.

#### No acceptable estimate of OFL is available.



## ABC

- The SSC recommends an ABC for a three-year period (2015, 2016, and 2017) equal to the catch in the year of the highest exploitation ratio (1993).
- Thus, the recommended ABC is 23,400 mt, the same as was previously set for 2012-2014 by the SSC, which occurred during a period of apparent relatively light exploitation (1976-2009) according to the 2010 longfin squid assessment.



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty

- Surveys cover unknown portion of entire range (variable availability) – the range may extend beyond survey coverage;
- Poor precision of U.S. discard estimates;
- Using a bottom trawl survey gear for a semipelagic species;
- Highly variable survey trends;
- Highly variable natural mortality;



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty (cont'd)

- Extremely short life-span (less than 1 year), and unknown, but likely high, impact of environmental factors on recruitment;
- Because of its short life span, its high rate of natural mortality, and the delay in collating survey and catch information, there is an inherent lag in information pertaining to the current state of the stock; and



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty (cont'd)

 Inability to distinguish between inter-seasonal differences in productivity and inter-seasonal differences in catchability.





# Longfin MC

# No changes needed – see page 5 of tab.



# *Illex* Squid



# **Illex Squid Landings**



Figure 1. Illex landings within 200 miles of U.S. Coast (2013 Preliminary).



# *Illex* Info

No accepted assessment.
Needs full-season age/maturity data before proceeding
SSC requests new assessment before next 3-year cycle



## *Illex* Info



Figure 2. *Illex illecebrosus* indices of relative abundance (stratified mean number per tow) and biomass (stratified mean kg per tow) derived from NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys conducted during 1967-2013.



Don Flescher, NEFSC

# May 2014 SSC Meeting

## ABC Recommendations for *Illex* Squid



## Level 4 Assessment

- No acceptable estimate of OFL is available.
- The last benchmark assessment for *Illex* was conducted in 2006.



# OFL

#### Not possible.

#### No acceptable estimate of OFL is available.



## ABC

- The SSC recommends a 2015-2017 multi-year ABC specification of 24,000 mt (the same as was previously set for 2012-2014 by the SSC).
- This is based on the observation that landings of 24,000 - 26,000 mt do not appear to have caused harm to the *Illex* stock, based on indices and landings in years following when landings were in the range of 24,000 mt - 26,000 mt.



# ABC (cont'd)

- The method used by the SSC for setting the ABC assumes that the stock has been lightly exploited.
- The SSC recommends that a benchmark assessment or a research track examining the effects of environmental variables on survey trends in *Illex* be undertaken by 2017, which would be 11 years since the last benchmark assessment was conducted.



# Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty

- Surveys cover an unknown portion of the entire range (leading to variable availability);
- Poor precision of U.S. discard estimates (but of low magnitude);
- Using a bottom trawl survey gear for a semipelagic;
- LPUE values are sensitive to availability;


## Most Significant Sources of Scientific Uncertainty (cont'd)

- Highly variable natural mortality;
- Extremely short life-span (less than 1 year), and unknown, but likely high, impact of environmental factors on recruitment and growth; and
- No available estimates of biological reference points (F & B), and no estimates of recent biomass and/or fishing mortality.





## **Illex** MC

## No changes needed – see page 5 of tab.