

Recreational Wave 1 black sea bass fishery – 2019 and beyond



Joint MAFMC and ASMFC Board Meeting August 14, 2018

2018 Wave 1 Review



- February 1 28 season, 15 fish possession limit, 12.5 inch minimum size
- 100,000 pounds allocated to 2018 fishery

Total estimated harvest from fishery accounted for and evaluated

against RHL

- Adjustments to management measures in rest of season – only apply to participating states
- State allocation based on historicalWave 1 catch
- 2 of 9 states participated: VA & NC

State	Proportion of Wave 1 Catch	Allocation of Wave 1 100,000 lb		
RI	0.29%	288		
CT	0.06%	57		
NY	9.41%	9,410		
NJ	82.85%	82,850		
DE	1.30%	1,297		
MD	0.54%	541		
VA	5.50%	5,496		
NC	0.06%	62		
Total	100.00%	100,000		



2018 Wave 1 Review



- Total Harvest: VA 6,015 6,902 pounds; NC 0 pounds
- No seasonal adjustments made Addendum XXX
- Evaluation to 2013 Wave 1 fishery and Wave 1 projections
 - Private vessels 71% of all trips; 3 anglers/vessel
 - For-hire vessels 67% of participation; 33 anglers/vessel; 67% of harvest
 - High average CPA and relatively low discard ratio
 - Slightly different from 2013; similar to historical wave 1 fishery
 - Very different than fishery in rest of year

Sector	# of Trips	Total Anglers*	Harvest (# of fish)	Discards* (# of fish)	Total Catch (# of fish)	Average Catch Per Angler
For-Hire	17	199	1,996	675	2,671	12.6
Private	44	96	1,140	334	1,474	10.0
Unknown	1		30		30	
Total	62	295	3,166	1,009	4,175	10.4

Possible 2019 Wave 1 Implementation



From February 2018 Council meeting

Move to 1) refer the black sea bass 2020 Wave 1 LOA implementation provisions to Committee; 2) provide for a 2019 Wave 1 fishery under the specifications as implemented in 2018

- Same measures and management structure as in 2018
- Data available suggests high catch and harvest rates likely in 2019
- Council and Board recommendation results in a 11% decline in 2019 RHL
 - 100,000 pound wave 1 allocation equates to 3.1% of 2019 RHL



Future Direction of Wave 1 Fishery



LOA Program

- Data collection on fishery and biological samples
- Increased accountability & monitoring
 - Minimize overages and consequences to fishery
- Cost/Benefit: High/Limited(?)

Specification Process

- Maximum participation with minimal requirements
- States participating accountable
- Lower costs \$ and admin.
- Data & sampling possible
- No monitoring and less accountability
- 2018 fishery concerns remain:
 - Allocation, Equity, Accountability, Benefits
- 2019 recreational priorities

Decision Items for August



Decide on whether to open the 2019 wave
 1 fishery through specifications

- 2. Decide on goals of fishery and the <u>one</u> specific approach to open fishery in future
 - Need to account for wave 1 catch/harvest
 - Benefits and trade-offs associated with both options
 - If continuation of LOA, develop a simplified program with minimum requirements